

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark-Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE BIOT008 4271 04/13/2001 Hal Sternberg 09/834,747 EXAMINER 24353 7590 02/27/2004 **BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP** CHOI, FRANK I 200 MIDDLEFIELD RD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 200 MENLO PARK, CA 94025 1616

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		STERNBERG, HAL
	09/834,747 Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank I Choi	1616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/834,747

Art Unit: 1616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Examiner requests that Applicant, regardless of whether Applicant amends the claims in response the rejections below, send by mail a more clear and legible copy. The fax copy is not clearly legible and in some cases completely illegible. In the first claim it appears that either Applicant failed to cross-out some words or the fax copy does not show the line crossing out said words, i.e. line 1, "that does not", line 3, "in an amount".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Segall et al. (U.S Pat. 5,702,880) or Segall et al. (US Pat. 5,571,801) each in view of EP 0 275 998 or Reed.

Segall et al. disclose a method of administering a blood substitute containing potassium, sodium, magnesium, calcium, chloride, bicarbonate, glucose, Herastarch, wherein the subjects are under anesthesia and are hooked up to an oxygenator falling within the scope of applicant's claims (See Examples and Claims). The compositions and/or procedures will cause a reduction in carbon dioxide levels.

EP 0 275 998 teaches that acidosis can result from reduced levels of oxygen in the tissues and that use of sodium bicarbonate can cause an increase in carbon dioxide pressure which impairs myocardial contractility and undesirable neurological effects and tris(hydroxymethyl)-

Application/Control Number: 09/834,747

Art Unit: 1616

aminomethane buffer mixtures cause thromobophlebitic changes and serous tissue irritation (Pg. 2, lines 10-34). It is taught that amino derivatives of reduced sugars can be used in conjunction with or in place of sodium bicarbonate to combat acidosis (Pg. 3, lines 1-47). It is taught that said sugars can be used in "survival solutions", i.e. aqueous solutions comprising glucose, electrolytes at physiological pH (Pg. 4, lines 16-21). It is taught that administration of said sugars result in elevation of blood pH and a clear decrease in pCO2 (Pg. 4, lines 23-42, Figure I (showing a dose response decrease in pCO2 from 40 to 20 mmHg and an increase in pH)).

Reed teaches that increase in pCO2 leads to lowering of the pH of the blood, i.e. acidosis, which can lead to trauma or death and that because about half of the carbon dioxide dissolved in blood is present as bicarbonate ion, the pH of the blood is directly dependent upon the pCO2 (Column 1, lines 63-68, Column 2, lines 1-15). A method and apparatus for monitoring and adjusting the concentration of oxygen and carbon dioxide in blood during surgery and other medical procedures, including reducing pCO2 to avoid acidosis (Columns 7-10).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose reducing the level of CO2 by at least about 5 mm Hg to reduce the risk of acidosis/academia. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as it is known in the art that acidosis and increased blood CO2 levels can occur during surgery, trauma and/or loss of blood and that drugs or devices can be used to reduce blood CO2 levels and keep the blood pH at physiological levels. As such, it would have been well within the skill of and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use drugs or devices to lower blood CO2 levels as desired, including amounts falling with the claimed ranges, so as to reduce the risk of acidosis and other problems associated with high CO2 blood levels and acidosis.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,747

Art Unit: 1616

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them moot in light of the new grounds of rejection above.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (571)272-0610. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Thurman Page, can be reached at (571)272-0602). Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0198, respectively.

FIC

February 22, 2004

S. MARK CLARDY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1200